



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Adjudicative COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,421	10/18/2001	Robert Sackstein	36459-501001US	1314
30/623	7590	05/28/2009		EXAMINER
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C. ONE FINANCIAL CENTER BOSTON, MA 02111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 05/28/2009

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief</b> <i>(37 CFR 41.37)</i>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/042,421	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SACKSTEIN, ROBERT
	<b>Examiner</b> Philip Gambil	<b>Art Unit</b> 1644

*--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--*

The Appeal Brief filed on 20 February 2009 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH** or **THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1.  The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2.  The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3.  At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4.  (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5.  The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6.  The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7.  The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8.  The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9.  The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10.  Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

*Appeal Brief includes arguments and evidence NOT previously considered or entered. For example, appellant relies upon Berg et al. (J. Exp. Med. 174: 1461-1466, 1991), Jutila et al. (J. Immunol. 153: 3917-3928, 1994), Katoh et al. (J. Exp. Med. 182: 419-429, 1995), Katoh et al. (J. Immunol. 162: 5058-5061, 1999), Maiti et al. (Science 282: 941-943, 1998), Pickering et al. (Am. J. Pathol. 136: 1053-1068, 1990), Walcheck et al. (J. Exp. Med. 178: 853-863, 1993) (e.g., see Evidence Appendix), wherein said evidence has NOT been relied upon previously, NOR considered by the examiner. Further, this evidence has not been cited in an Information Disclosure Statement of record. Reference to UNentered evidence is NOT permitted in the Appeal Brief. For example, see MPEP 1205.02 and 37 CFR 41.33.*

/Phillip Gambil/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644